

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2014, Article ID 143614, 8 pages
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/143614>



Research Article

Oscillatory Behavior of Second-Order Nonlinear Neutral Differential Equations

Tongxing Li¹ and Yuriy V. Rogovchenko²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Linyi University, Linyi, Shandong 276005, China

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Agder, P.O. Box 422, 4604 Kristiansand, Norway

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuriy V. Rogovchenko; yuriy.rogovchenko@uia.no

Received 28 March 2014; Accepted 16 April 2014; Published 11 June 2014

Academic Editor: Qi-Ru Wang

Copyright © 2014 T. Li and Y. V. Rogovchenko. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study oscillatory behavior of solutions to a class of second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations under the assumptions that allow applications to differential equations with delayed and advanced arguments. New theorems do not need several restrictive assumptions required in related results reported in the literature. Several examples are provided to show that the results obtained are sharp even for second-order ordinary differential equations and improve related contributions to the subject.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the oscillation of a class of second-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations

$$\left(r(t) \left((x(t) + p(t)x(\eta(t)))' \right)^\gamma \right)' + f(t, x(g(t))) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $t \geq t_0 > 0$. The increasing interest in problems of the existence of oscillatory solutions to second-order neutral differential equations is motivated by their applications in the engineering and natural sciences. We refer the reader to [1–21] and the references cited therein.

We assume that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

- (h₁) γ is a quotient of odd natural numbers, the functions $r, p \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, and $r(t) > 0$;
- (h₂) the functions $\eta, g \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty; \quad (2)$$

- (h₃) the function $f(t, u) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$uf(t, u) > 0 \quad (3)$$

for all $u \neq 0$ and there exists a positive continuous function $q(t)$ defined on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$|f(t, u)| \geq q(t) |u|^\gamma. \quad (4)$$

By a solution of (1), we mean a function x defined on $[T_x, \infty)$ for some $T_x \geq t_0$ such that $x + p \cdot x \circ \eta$ and $r((x + p \cdot x \circ \eta)')^\gamma$ are continuously differentiable and x satisfies (1) for all $t \geq T_x$. In what follows, we assume that solutions of (1) exist and can be continued indefinitely to the right. Recall that a nontrivial solution x of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it is not of the same sign eventually; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is termed oscillatory if all its nontrivial solutions are oscillatory.

Recently, Baculiková and Džurina [6] studied oscillation of a second-order neutral functional differential equation

$$\left(r(t) (x(t) + p(t)x(\eta(t)))' \right)' + q(t)x(g(t)) = 0 \quad (5)$$

assuming that the following conditions hold:

- (H₁) $r, p, q \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $r(t) > 0$, $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_0 < \infty$, and $q(t) > 0$;
- (H₂) $g \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$;
- (H₃) $\eta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $\eta'(t) \geq \eta_0 > 0$, and $\eta \circ g = g \circ \eta$.

They established oscillation criteria for (5) through the comparison with associated first-order delay differential inequalities in the case where

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1}(t) dt = \infty. \quad (6)$$

Assuming that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1}(t) dt < \infty, \quad (7)$$

Han et al. [9], Li et al. [15], and Sun et al. [20] obtained oscillation results for (5), one of which we present below for the convenience of the reader. We use the notation

$$Q(t) := \min \{q(t), q(\eta(t))\}, \quad \rho'_+(t) := \max \{0, \rho'(t)\},$$

$$\varphi(t) := \int_t^{\infty} r^{-1}(s) ds. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 1 (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1] and [20, Theorem 2.2]). Assume that conditions (H_1) – (H_3) and (7) hold. Suppose also that $g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t$ and $g'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. If there exists a function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\rho(s) Q(s) - \left(1 + \frac{p_0}{\eta_0}\right) \frac{r(g(s)) (\rho'_+(s))^2}{4\rho(s) g'(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (9)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\varphi(s) Q(s) - \frac{1 + (p_0/\eta_0)}{4\varphi(s) r(s)} \right] ds = \infty,$$

then (5) is oscillatory.

Replacing (6) with the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1/\gamma}(t) dt = \infty, \quad (10)$$

Baculiková and Džurina [7] extended results of [6] to a nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$\left(r(t) \left((x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)))' \right)^\gamma \right)' + q(t)x^\beta(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where β and γ are quotients of odd natural numbers. Hasanbulli and Rogovchenko [10] studied a more general second-order nonlinear neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(r(t) (x(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau))' \right)' + q(t)f(x(t), x(\sigma(t))) = 0 \quad (12)$$

assuming that $0 \leq p(t) \leq 1$, $\sigma(t) \leq t$, $\sigma'(t) > 0$, and (6) holds. To introduce oscillation results obtained for (1) by Erbe et al. [8], we need the following notation:

$$\mathbb{D} := \{(t, s) : t \geq s \geq t_0\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_0 := \{(t, s) : t > s \geq t_0\},$$

$$h_-(t, s) := \max \{0, -h(t, s)\},$$

$$\theta(t, u) := \frac{\int_u^{g(t)} r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds}{\int_u^t r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds}. \quad (13)$$

We say that a continuous function $H : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ belongs to the class \mathfrak{H} if

- (i) $H(t, t) = 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $H(t, s) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{D}_0$;
- (ii) H has a nonpositive continuous partial derivative $\partial H / \partial s$ with respect to the second variable satisfying

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H(t, s) - H(t, s) \frac{\delta'(s)}{\delta(s)} = \frac{h(t, s)}{\delta(s)} (H(t, s))^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)} \quad (14)$$

for some $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ and for some $\delta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$.

Theorem 2 (see [8, Theorem 2.2, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$]). Let conditions (10) and (h_1) – (h_3) hold. Suppose that $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, $\eta(t) \leq t$, and $g(t) \geq t$ for all $t \geq t_0$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \times \int_T^t \left[\delta(s) q(s) H(t, s) (1 - p(g(s)))^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (h_-(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^\gamma(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (15)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 3 (see [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$]). Let conditions (10) and (h_1) – (h_3) be satisfied. Suppose also that $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, $\eta(t) \leq t$, and $g(t) \leq t$ for all $t \geq t_0$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T_* \geq t_0$ and for some $T > T_*$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \times \int_T^t \left[\delta(s) \theta^\gamma(s, T_*) H(t, s) q(s) (1 - p(g(s)))^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (h_-(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^\gamma(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (16)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Assuming that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1/\gamma}(t) dt < \infty, \quad (17)$$

Li et al. [16] extended results of [10] to a nonlinear neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(r(t) \left((x(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau))' \right)^\gamma \right)' + q(t)f(x(t), x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where $\gamma \geq 1$ is a ratio of odd natural numbers. Han et al. [9, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2] established sufficient conditions for

the oscillation of (1) provided that (17) is satisfied, $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, and

$$\eta(t) = t - \tau \leq t, \quad p'(t) \geq 0, \quad g(t) \leq t - \tau. \quad (19)$$

Xu and Meng [21] studied (1) under the assumptions that (17) holds, $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, and

$$\eta(t) = t - \tau \leq t, \quad p'(t) \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = A \quad (20)$$

obtaining sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) either to be oscillatory or to satisfy $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$; see [21, Theorem 2.3]. Saker [17] investigated oscillatory nature of (1) assuming that (17) is satisfied,

$$0 \leq p(t) < 1, \quad p'(t) \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

$$g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t, \quad \eta'(t) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\int_T^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_T^s q(u) (1 - p(u))^\gamma \varphi^\gamma(u) du \right)^{1/\gamma} ds = \infty \quad (22)$$

for some $T \geq t_0$, where $\varphi(u) := \int_u^\infty r^{-1/\gamma}(t) dt$. Li et al. [12] studied oscillation of (1) under the conditions that (17) holds, η and g are strictly increasing, $p(t) > 1$, and

$$\text{either } g(t) \geq \eta(t) \text{ or } g(t) \leq \eta(t). \quad (23)$$

Li et al. [13] investigated (1) in the case where (H_1) – (H_3) hold, $\gamma \geq 1$, $\eta(t) \geq t$, and $g(t) \geq t$. In particular, sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) either to be oscillatory or to satisfy $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ were obtained under the assumptions that (17) holds and $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1$; see [13, Theorem 3.8]. Sun et al. [19] established several oscillation results for (1) assuming that (h_3) , (H_1) – (H_3) , (17), and (23) are satisfied. The following notation is used in the next theorem:

$$Q(t) := \min \{q(t), q(\eta(t))\}, \quad \rho'_+(t) := \max \{0, \rho'(t)\},$$

$$\varphi(t) := \int_{\tau(t)}^\infty r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds. \quad (24)$$

Theorem 4 (see [19, Theorem 4.1]). *Let conditions (h_3) , (H_1) – (H_3) , and (17) be satisfied. Assume also that $\gamma \geq 1$, $g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t$, and $g'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Suppose further that there exist functions $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ and $\tau \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau(t) \geq t$, $\tau'(t) > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{\rho(s) Q(s)}{2^{\gamma-1}} - \left(1 + \frac{p_0^\gamma}{\eta_0} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{r(g(s)) (\rho'_+(s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} (\rho(s) g'(s))^\gamma} \right] ds = \infty, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{\varphi^\gamma(s) Q(s)}{2^{\gamma-1}} - \left(1 + \frac{p_0^\gamma}{\eta_0} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1} \tau'(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \varphi(s) r^{1/\gamma}(\tau(s))} \right] ds = \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Our principal goal in this paper is to analyze the oscillatory behavior of solutions to (1) in the case where (17) holds and without assumptions (H_3) , (19)–(23), and $\gamma \geq 1$.

2. Oscillation Criteria

In what follows, all functional inequalities are tacitly assumed to hold for all t large enough, unless mentioned otherwise. We use the notation

$$z(t) := x(t) + p(t)x(\eta(t)), \quad (26)$$

$$R(t) := \int_t^\infty r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds.$$

A continuous function $K : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to belong to the class \mathfrak{K} if

- (i) $K(t, t) = 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $K(t, s) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{D}_0$;
- (ii) K has a nonpositive continuous partial derivative $\partial K / \partial s$ with respect to the second variable satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} K(t, s) = -\zeta(t, s) (K(t, s))^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)} \quad (27)$$

for some $\zeta \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 5. *Let all assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exists a function $m \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that*

$$\frac{m(t)}{r^{1/\gamma}(t) R(t)} + m'(t) \leq 0, \quad 1 - p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} > 0. \quad (28)$$

If there exists a function $K \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\eta(t)) > 0$, and $x(g(t)) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$. Then $z(t) \geq x(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$, and by virtue of

$$(r(t)(z'(t))^\gamma)' \leq -q(t)x^\gamma(g(t)) < 0, \quad (30)$$

the function $r(t)(z'(t))^\gamma$ is strictly decreasing for all $t \geq t_1$. Hence, $z'(t)$ does not change sign eventually; that is, there

exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that either $z'(t) > 0$ or $z'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_2$. We consider each of the two cases separately.

Case 1. Assume first that $z'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_2$. Proceeding as in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$], we obtain a contradiction to (15).

Case 2. Assume now that $z'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_2$. For $t \geq t_2$, define a new function $\omega(t)$ by

$$\omega(t) := \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^\gamma}{z^\gamma(t)}. \quad (31)$$

Then $\omega(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_2$, and it follows from (30) that

$$z'(s) \leq \left(\frac{r(t)}{r(s)} \right)^{1/\gamma} z'(t) \quad (32)$$

for all $s \geq t \geq t_2$. Integrating (32) from t to l , $l \geq t \geq t_2$, we have

$$z(l) \leq z(t) + r^{1/\gamma}(t) z'(t) \int_t^l \frac{ds}{r^{1/\gamma}(s)}. \quad (33)$$

Passing to the limit as $l \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$z(t) + r^{1/\gamma}(t) z'(t) R(t) \geq 0, \quad (34)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{z'(t)}{z(t)} \geq -\frac{1}{r^{1/\gamma}(t) R(t)}. \quad (35)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z(t)}{m(t)} \right)' &= \frac{z'(t)m(t) - z(t)m'(t)}{m^2(t)} \\ &\geq -\frac{z(t)}{m^2(t)} \left[\frac{m(t)}{r^{1/\gamma}(t) R(t)} + m'(t) \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Consequently, there exists a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that, for all $t \geq t_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= z(t) - p(t)x(\eta(t)) \geq z(t) - p(t)z(\eta(t)) \\ &\geq z(t) - p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} z(t) \\ &= \left(1 - p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} \right) z(t), \\ \frac{z(g(t))}{z(t)} &\geq \frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Differentiating (31) and using (30), we have, for all $t \geq t_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) &\leq -q(t) \left(1 - p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)} \right)^\gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^\gamma (z^\gamma(t))'}{z^{2\gamma}(t)} \\ &= -q(t) \left(1 - p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)} \right)^\gamma \\ &\quad - \gamma \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^{\gamma+1}}{z^{\gamma+1}(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Hence, by (31) and (38), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) + q(t) \left(1 - p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)} \right)^\gamma \\ + \gamma r^{-1/\gamma}(t) \omega^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(t) \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

for all $t \geq t_3$. Multiplying (39) by $K(t, s)$ and integrating the resulting inequality from t_3 to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_3}^t K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \\ \times \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma ds \\ \leq K(t, t_3) \omega(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^t \frac{\partial K(t, s)}{\partial s} \omega(s) ds \\ - \int_{t_3}^t \gamma K(t, s) r^{-1/\gamma}(s) \omega^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(s) ds \\ = K(t, t_3) \omega(t_3) - \int_{t_3}^t \zeta(t, s) (K(t, s))^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)} \omega(s) ds \\ - \int_{t_3}^t \gamma K(t, s) r^{-1/\gamma}(s) (-\omega(s))^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In order to use the inequality

$$\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma} AB^{1/\gamma} - A^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} B^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0, A \geq 0, B \geq 0, \quad (41)$$

see Li et al. [16, Lemma 1 (ii)] for details; we let

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma} &:= \gamma K(t, s) r^{-1/\gamma}(s) (-\omega(s))^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}, \\ B^{1/\gamma} &:= \frac{\gamma \zeta(t, s) r^{1/(\gamma+1)}(s)}{(\gamma+1) \gamma^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Then, by virtue of (40), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_3}^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds \\ & \leq K(t, t_3) \omega(t_3), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

which contradicts (29). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6. Let all assumptions of Theorem 3 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose further that there exists a function $m \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that (28) holds. If there exists a function $K \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5 and hence is omitted. \square

Theorem 7. Let conditions (10) and (h_1) – (h_3) be satisfied, $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, $\eta(t) \geq t$, and $g(t) \geq t$. Assume that there exists a function $m \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T_* \geq t_0$,

$$\frac{m(t)}{r^{1/\gamma}(t) \int_{T_*}^t r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds} - m'(t) \leq 0, \quad (45)$$

$$1 - p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} > 0.$$

If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[\delta(s) q(s) H(t, s) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (h_-(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^\gamma(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume again that (1) possesses a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\eta(t)) > 0$, and $x(g(t)) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$.

Then, for all $t \geq t_1$, (30) is satisfied and $z(t) \geq x(t) > 0$. It follows from (10) that there exists a $T_* \geq t_1$ such that $z'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq T_*$. By virtue of (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &= z(T_*) + \int_{T_*}^t \frac{(r(s) (z'(s))^\gamma)^{1/\gamma}}{r^{1/\gamma}(s)} ds \\ &\geq r^{1/\gamma}(t) z'(t) \int_{T_*}^t r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z(t)}{m(t)} \right)' &= \frac{z'(t) m(t) - z(t) m'(t)}{m^2(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{z(t)}{m^2(t)} \left[\frac{m(t)}{r^{1/\gamma}(t) \int_{T_*}^t r^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds} - m'(t) \right] \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= z(t) - p(t) x(\eta(t)) \\ &\geq z(t) - p(t) z(\eta(t)) \\ &\geq \left(1 - p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} \right) z(t). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

For $t \geq T_*$, define a new function $u(t)$ by

$$u(t) := \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (z'(t))^\gamma}{z^\gamma(t)}. \quad (50)$$

Then $u(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq T_*$, and the rest of the proof is similar to that of [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$]. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 8. Let conditions (10) and (h_1) – (h_3) be satisfied. Suppose also that $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, $\eta(t) \geq t$, $g(t) \leq t$, and there exists a function $m \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that (45) holds for all sufficiently large $T_* \geq t_0$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for some $T > T_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[\delta(s) \theta^\gamma(s, T_*) q(s) H(t, s) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (h_-(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^\gamma(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof runs as in Theorem 7 and [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$] and thus is omitted. \square

Theorem 9. Let all assumptions of Theorem 7 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exist a function $K \in \mathfrak{K}$ and a function $\phi \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\frac{\phi(t)}{r^{1/\gamma}(t)R(t)} + \phi'(t) \leq 0, \quad (52)$$

and, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) (1 - p(g(s)))^\gamma \times \left(\frac{\phi(g(s))}{\phi(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds > 0. \quad (53)$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume as above that (1) possesses a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\eta(t)) > 0$, and $x(g(t)) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$. Then, for all $t \geq t_1$, (30) is satisfied and $z(t) \geq x(t) > 0$. Therefore, the function $r(t)(z'(t))^\gamma$ is strictly decreasing for all $t \geq t_1$, and so there exists a $T_* \geq t_1$ such that either $z'(t) > 0$ or $z'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq T_*$. Assume first that $z'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq T_*$. As in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain a contradiction with (46). Assume now that $z'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq T_*$. For $t \geq T_*$, define $\omega(t)$ by (31). By virtue of $\eta(t) \geq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= z(t) - p(t)x(\eta(t)) \\ &\geq z(t) - p(t)z(\eta(t)) \\ &\geq (1 - p(t))z(t). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 5 and hence is omitted. \square

Theorem 10. Let all assumptions of Theorem 8 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exists a function $K \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) (1 - p(g(s)))^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds > 0. \quad (55)$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof resembles those of Theorems 5 and 9. \square

Remark 11. One can obtain from Theorems 5 and 6 various oscillation criteria by letting, for instance,

$$m(t) = R(t). \quad (56)$$

Likewise, several oscillation criteria are obtained from Theorems 7–10 with

$$m(t) = \int_{T_*}^t \frac{ds}{r^{1/\gamma}(s)}, \quad \phi(t) = R(t). \quad (57)$$

3. Examples and Discussion

The following examples illustrate applications of theoretical results presented in this paper.

Example 1. For $t \geq 1$, consider a neutral differential equation

$$\left(t^2 \left(x(t) + p_0 x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right)' \right)' + q_0 x(2t) = 0, \quad (58)$$

where $p_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ and $q_0 > 0$ are constants. Here, $\gamma = 1$, $r(t) = t^2$, $p(t) = p_0$, $\eta(t) = t/2$, $q(t) = q_0$, and $g(t) = 2t$. Let $m(t) = t^{-1}$ and $K(t, s) = s^{-1}(t - s)^2$. Then $\zeta(t, s) = 2s^{-1/2} + s^{-3/2}(t - s)$ and, for all sufficiently large $T \geq 1$ and for all q_0 satisfying $q_0(1 - 2p_0) > 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t &\left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ &\times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[\frac{q_0(1 - 2p_0)}{2} \frac{(t - s)^2}{s} - s \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(t - s)^2}{4s} - (t - s) \right] ds > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

On the other hand, letting $H(t, s) = s^{-1}(t - s)^2$ and $\delta(t) = 1$, we observe that condition (15) is satisfied for $q_0(1 - 2p_0) > 1/2$. Hence, by Theorem 5, we conclude that (58) is oscillatory provided that $q_0(1 - 2p_0) > 1/2$. Observe that results reported in [9, 12, 17, 21] cannot be applied to (58) since $p(t) < 1$ and conditions (19)–(22) fail to hold for this equation.

Example 2. For $t \geq 1$, consider a neutral differential equation

$$\left(t^3 \left(x(t) + \frac{1}{8} x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right)' \right)' + q_0 t x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right) = 0, \quad (60)$$

where $q_0 > 0$ is a constant. Here, $\gamma = 1$, $r(t) = t^3$, $p(t) = 1/8$, $\eta(t) = t/2$, $q(t) = q_0 t$, and $g(t) = t/3$. Let $m(t) = t^{-2}/2$ and $K(t, s) = s^{-2}(t - s)^2$. Then $\zeta(t, s) = 2s^{-1} + 2s^{-2}(t - s)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t &\left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[\frac{q_0(t - s)^2}{2s} - s - \frac{(t - s)^2}{s} - 2(t - s) \right] ds > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

whenever $q_0 > 2$. Let $H(t, s) = s^{-2}(t - s)^2$ and $\delta(t) = 1$. Then (16) is satisfied for $q_0 > 2$. Therefore, using Theorem 6, we

deduce that (60) is oscillatory if $q_0 > 2$, whereas Theorems 1 and 4 yield oscillation of (60) for $q_0 > 5/2$, so our oscillation result is sharper.

Example 3. For $t \geq 1$, consider the Euler differential equation

$$\left(t^2 x'(t)\right)' + q_0 x(t) = 0, \quad (62)$$

where $q_0 > 0$ is a constant. Here, $\gamma = 1$, $r(t) = t^2$, $p(t) = 0$, $q(t) = q_0$, and $g(t) = t$. Choose $m(t) = t^{-1}$ and $K(t, s) = s^{-1}(t-s)^2$. Then $\zeta(t, s) = 2s^{-1/2} + s^{-3/2}(t-s)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[K(t, s) q(s) \left(1 - p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))} \right)^\gamma \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)} \right)^\gamma - \frac{r(s) (\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \right] ds \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[\frac{q_0(t-s)^2}{s} - s - \frac{(t-s)^2}{4s} - (t-s) \right] ds > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

provided that $q_0 > 1/4$. Let $H(t, s) = s^{-1}(t-s)^2$ and $\delta(t) = 1$. Then (15) holds for $q_0 > 1/4$. It follows from Theorem 5 that (62) is oscillatory for $q_0 > 1/4$, and it is well known that this condition is the best possible for the given equation. However, results of Saker [17] do not allow us to arrive at this conclusion due to condition (22).

Remark 12. In this paper, using an integral averaging technique, we derive several oscillation criteria for the second-order neutral equation (1) in both cases (10) and (17). Contrary to [9, 12, 15, 17, 19–21], we do not impose restrictive conditions (H_3) and (19)–(23) in our oscillation results. This leads to a certain improvement compared to the results in the cited papers. However, to obtain new results in the case where (17) holds, we have to impose an additional assumption on the function p ; that is, $p(t) < m(t)/m(\eta(t))$. The question regarding the study of oscillatory properties of (1) with other methods that do not require this assumption remains open at the moment.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contribution

Both authors contributed equally to this work and are listed in alphabetical order. They both read and approved the final version of the paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for pointing out several inaccuracies in the paper. The research of the first author was supported by the AMEP of Linyi University, China.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, T. Li, and C. Zhang, "Oscillation of second-order Emden-Fowler neutral delay differential equations," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 2013.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and W.-T. Li, *Nonoscillation and Oscillation: Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, vol. 267 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2004.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal and S. R. Grace, "Oscillation theorems for certain neutral functional differential equations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1–11, 1999.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2002.
- [6] B. Baculiková and J. Džurina, "Oscillation theorems for second order neutral differential equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 94–99, 2011.
- [7] B. Baculiková and J. Džurina, "Oscillation theorems for second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 4472–4478, 2011.
- [8] L. Erbe, T. S. Hassan, and A. Peterson, "Oscillation criteria for nonlinear functional neutral dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 15, no. 11–12, pp. 1097–1116, 2009.
- [9] Z. Han, T. Li, S. Sun, and Y. Sun, "Remarks on the paper [Appl. Math. Comput. 207 (2009) 388–396]," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 11, pp. 3998–4007, 2010.
- [10] M. Hasanbulli and Yu. V. Rogovchenko, "Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear neutral differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 12, pp. 4392–4399, 2010.
- [11] B. Karpuz, Ö. Öcalan, and S. Öztürk, "Comparison theorems on the oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of higher-order neutral differential equations," *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 107–114, 2010.
- [12] T. Li, R. P. Agarwal, and M. Bohner, "Some oscillation results for second-order neutral differential equations," *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 79, no. 1–4, pp. 97–106, 2012.
- [13] T. Li, Z. Han, C. Zhang, and H. Li, "Oscillation criteria for second-order superlinear neutral differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 367541, 17 pages, 2011.
- [14] T. Li and Yu. V. Rogovchenko, "Asymptotic behavior of higher-order quasilinear neutral differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 395368, 11 pages, 2014.
- [15] T. Li, Yu. V. Rogovchenko, and C. Zhang, "Oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 111–120, 2013.
- [16] T. Li, Yu. V. Rogovchenko, and C. Zhang, "Oscillation results for second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2013, article 336, pp. 1–13, 2013.
- [17] S. H. Saker, "Oscillation criteria for a second-order quasilinear neutral functional dynamic equation on time scales," *Nonlinear Oscillations*, vol. 13, pp. 407–428, 2011.

- [18] S. H. Saker and D. O'Regan, "New oscillation criteria for second-order neutral functional dynamic equations via the generalized Riccati substitution," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 423–434, 2011.
- [19] S. Sun, T. Li, Z. Han, and H. Li, "Oscillation theorems for second-order quasilinear neutral functional differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 819342, 17 pages, 2012.
- [20] S. Sun, T. Li, Z. Han, and C. Zhang, "On oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 541–554, 2013.
- [21] R. Xu and F. Meng, "Some new oscillation criteria for second order quasi-linear neutral delay differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 797–803, 2006.

